arXiv:1403.4527v2 [math.NT] 19 Mar 2014

BOUNDED GAPS BETWEEN PRIMES OF THE SPECIAL FORM

HONGZE LI AND HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. For each $m \geq 1$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_{m+1}$ such that

$$p_{m+1} - p_1 = O(m^4 e^{8m})$$

and $p_j + 2$ has at most

$$\frac{16m}{\log 2} + \frac{5 \log m}{\log 2} + O(1)$$

prime divisors for each j.

1. INTRODUCTION

A recent breakthrough in prime number theory is on the bounded prime gaps. In [10], with help of a refined GPY sieve method [1] and an enhanced Bombieri-Vinogradov theorem, Zhang proved that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_{n+1} - p_n) \leq 7 \times 10^7, \tag{1.1}$$

where p_n denotes the n-th prime. Subsequently, the bound 7×10^7 was been rapidly reduced (cf. [7]). In [6], using a multi-dimensional sieve method, Maynard improved the upper bound 600. Furthermore, using the new sieve method, Maynard and Tao independently proved that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}(p_{n+m} - p_n) \leq Cm^3 e^{4m} \tag{1.2}$$

for any $m \geq 1$, where C is an absolutely constant.

In fact, using the discussions of Maynard and Tao, one can get a bounded-gaps type result for any subsequence of primes which satisfies the Bombieri-Vinogradov type mean value theorem. On the other hand, let

$$\mathcal{P}_d^{(2)} = \{p : p \text{ is prime and } \Omega(p+2) \leq d\},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of n. No asymptotic formula is known for the number of the primes in $\mathcal{P}_d^{(2)}$ less than x, though the well-known Chen theorem asserts that

$$|\mathcal{P}_2^{(2)} \cap [1, x]| \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}$$

provided x is sufficiently large.

In this note, we shall extend the Maynard-Tao theorem to the primes in $\mathcal{P}_d^{(2)}$. Our main result is that

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $m \geq 1$. Then there exist infinitely many primes $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_{m+1}$ such that*

$$p_{m+1} - p_1 = O(m^4 e^{8m})$$

and

$$\Omega(p_j + 2) \leq \frac{16m}{\log 2} + \frac{5 \log m}{\log 2} + O(1)$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq m+1$.

2. Maynard sieve method involving divisor function

Define

$$\Delta_{k_0,r} = \{(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0}) : t_j \geq 0,\ t_1 + \cdots + t_{k_0} \leq r\}.$$

Suppose that $f(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0})$ is a smooth function supported on $\Delta_{k_0,1}$. Let

$$F(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0}) = \frac{\partial^{k_0} f(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0})}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_{k_0}}$$

and

$$G_m(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0}) = \frac{\partial F(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0})}{\partial t_m}.$$

Suppose that $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{k_0}\}$ is admissible, i.e., for any prime p, $h_1, \ldots, h_{k_0}$ don't cover all residues modulo p. Suppose that x is sufficiently large and $x^{c_0} \leq R \leq x^{1/4}$ for some constant c_0. Let $w = \log\log\log x$ and

$$W = \prod_{p \leq w} p.$$

We may choose $1 \leq b \leq W$ such that $(b + h_j, W) = 1$ for each j.

The following result is motived by the work in [2, 4, 5].

Theorem 2.1. *For $1 \leq m \leq k_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n \sim x \\ n \equiv b \pmod W}} \tau(n + h_m) \bigg(\sum_{d_j \mid n + h_j} f\bigg(\frac{\log d_1}{\log R}, \ldots, \frac{\log d_{k_0}}{\log R} \bigg) \prod_{j=1}^{k_0} \mu(d_j) \bigg)^2 \\
=&\bigg(\frac{\log x}{\log R} \cdot \alpha - \beta_1 + 4\beta_2 + o(1) \bigg) \cdot \frac{x}{(\log R)^{k_0}} \cdot \frac{W^{k_0 - 1}}{\phi(W)^{k_0}}, \qquad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where τ is the divisor function,

$$\alpha = \int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}} t_m G_m(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_0})^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_{k_0}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\beta_1 = \int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}} t_m^2 G_m(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})^2 dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\beta_2 = \int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}} t_m G_m(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0}) F(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0}) dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Our proof will follow the way of Tao in [9]. Let

$$\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}} = f\left(\frac{\log d_1}{\log R},\dots,\frac{\log d_{k_0}}{\log R}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}\mu(d_j).$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n\equiv b \pmod W}} \tau(n+h_m)\Big(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\Big)^2\\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\in S_W\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\in S_W\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}} \lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}} \sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n\equiv b \pmod W\\ d_j\mid n+h_j}} \tau(n+h_m),\end{aligned}$$

where $S_W = \{d:\ (d,W)=1\}$. If q is square-free and $r=(a,q)$, then we know (cf. [8]) that

$$\sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n\equiv a \pmod q}} \tau(n) = \frac{\tau(r)r}{\phi(r)}\cdot\frac{x\phi(q)}{q^2}\left(\log x - \log r + 2\gamma - 1 - 2\sum_{p\mid(q/r)}\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) + O(x^{1/3+\epsilon}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{n\le x\\ n\equiv b \pmod W}} \tau(n+h_m)\Big(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\Big)^2\\ &= \sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\in S_W\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\in S_W\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}} \lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}}\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])[d_m,e_m]}{\phi([d_m,e_m])}\cdot\frac{\phi(W\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}[d_j,e_j])}{W^2\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}[d_j,e_j]^2}\\ &\cdot x\left(\log x - \log([d_m,e_m]) + 2\gamma - 1 - 2\sum_{p\mid W\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j]}\frac{\log p}{p-1} + O(x^{-2/3+\epsilon})\right).\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that the remainder

$$O\bigg(\frac{1}{x^{2/3-\epsilon}}\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\in S_W\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\in S_W\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}}\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])[d_m,e_m]}{\phi([d_m,e_m])}\cdot\frac{\phi(W\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}[d_j,e_j])}{W^2\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}[d_j,e_j]^2}\bigg)$$

can be omitted. Thus we only need to consider

$$M_1=\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}}\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])}{[d_m,e_m]}\cdot\frac{\phi(\prod_{i\neq m}[d_j,e_j])}{\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j]^2},$$

$$M_2=\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}}\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])}{[d_m,e_m]}\cdot\frac{\phi(\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j])}{\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j]^2}\cdot\log([d_m,e_m]),$$

and

$$M_3=\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\lambda_{e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}}\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])}{[d_m,e_m]}\cdot\frac{\phi(\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j])}{\prod_{i\neq m}[d_j,e_j]^2}\sum_{p|W\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j]}\frac{\log p}{p-1}.$$

Write

$$f(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\eta(\vec{u})\exp\bigg(-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}(1+iu_j)t_j\bigg)d\vec{u},$$

where

$$\eta(\vec{u})\ll(1+|\vec{u}|)^{-A}\tag{2.5}$$

for any fixed $A>0$. Then

$$M_1=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})H(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)=&\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\in S_W\\ e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\in S_W\\ (d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])}{[d_m,e_m]}\cdot\frac{\phi(\prod_{i\neq m}[d_i,e_i])}{\prod_{j\neq m}[d_j,e_j]^2}\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}\mu(d_j)\mu(e_j)d_j^{-\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}e_j^{-\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}\\ =&\prod_{p>w}\bigg(1-\sum_{j\neq m}\bigg(\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}}+\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}-\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\bigg)\\ &-\bigg(\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}+\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\bigg)\bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$H(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)\ll\prod_{p>w}(1+O(p^{-1-1/\log R}))=(\log R)^{O(1)},$$

in view of (2.5), we may assume that $|\vec{u}|,|\vec{v}|\le\sqrt{\log R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}H(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)=&(1+o(1))\prod_{p>w}\frac{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2}{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2}\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}}\Big)\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\Big)}{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\Big)}\\
=&(1+o(1))\frac{\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}\big)^2\prod_{j\neq m}\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}\big)}{\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}\big)^2\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}\big)^2\prod_{j\neq m}\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}\big)\zeta\big(1+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}\big)}\\
&\cdot\prod_{p\le w}\frac{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2}{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\Big)^2}\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\Big)}{\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}}\Big)\Big(1-\frac{1}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\Big)}\\
=&(1+o(1))\frac{\big(\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}\big)^2\big(\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}\big)^2\prod_{j\neq m}\big(\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}\big)\big(\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}\big)}{\big(\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}\big)^2\prod_{j\neq m}\big(\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}\big)}\cdot\frac{W^{k_0+1}}{\phi(W)^{k_0+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function and we used the fact

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(1+s)}=s+o(s)$$

as $s\to0$. Hence

$$M_1=\frac{(1+o(1))W^{k_0+1}}{\Phi(W)^{k_0+1}\log R^{k_0+1}}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}L_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v},$$

where

$$L_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})=\frac{\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})(1+iu_m)^2(1+iv_m)^2}{(1+iu_m+1+iv_m)^2}\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{(1+iu_j)(1+iv_j)}{1+iu_j+1+iv_j}.$$

Clearly

$$\frac{\partial^{k+1}f(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})}{\partial t_1\cdots\partial^2t_m\cdots\partial t_{k_0}}=(-1)^{k+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\eta(\vec{u})\exp\Big(-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}(1+iu_j)t_j\Big)\cdot(1+iu_m)^2\prod_{j\neq m}(1+iu_j)d\vec{u},$$

i.e.,

$$G_m(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})^2=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}K(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}$$

where

$$K_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})=\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}(1+iu_j+1+iv_j)t_j\right)\cdot(1+iu_m)^2(1+iv_m)^2\prod_{j\neq m}(1+iu_j)(1+iv_j).$$

Then by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\left(\int_{t_m}^{+\infty}G_m(t_1,\dots,t'_m,\dots,t_{k_0})^2dt'_m\right)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\frac{K_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}}{1+iu_m+1+iv_m}\right)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\frac{K_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}}{(1+iu_m1+iv_m)^2\prod_{j\neq m}(1+iu_j+1+iv_j)}\\=&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}L_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}=(1+o(1))M_1\cdot\frac{\Phi(W)^{k_0+1}\log R^{k_0+1}}{W^{k_0+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $G_m(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})$ is also supported on $\Delta_{k_0,1}$, clearly

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\left(\int_{t_m}^{+\infty}G_m(t_1,\dots,t'_m,\dots,t_{k_0})^2dt'_m\right)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}}t'_mG_m(t_1,\dots,t'_m,\dots,t_{k_0})^2dt_1\cdots dt'_m\cdots dt_{k_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Now let us turn to M_2. Similarly, we have

$$M_2=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})H^*(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v},$$

where

$$H^*(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)=\sum_{\substack{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}\in S_W\\e_1,\dots,e_{k_0}\in S_W\\(d_{j_1}e_{j_1},d_{j_2}e_{j_2})=1}}\log([d_m,e_m])\cdot\frac{\tau([d_m,e_m])}{[d_m,e_m]}\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{\phi([d_j,e_j])}{[d_j,e_j]^2}\prod_{j=1}^{k_0}\frac{\mu(d_j)\mu(e_j)}{d_j^{\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}e_j^{\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}H^*(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)=&\sum_{q>w}\left(\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\right)\\&\cdot\prod_{\substack{p>w\\p\neq q}}\left(1-\sum_{j\neq m}\left(\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}}}+\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}-\frac{p-1}{p^{2+\frac{1+iu_j}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_j}{\log R}}}\right)\right.\\&\left.-\left(\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}+\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2}{p^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\right)\right)\\=&\sum_{q>w}\left(\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\right)\cdot\frac{H(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)}{1-O(q^{-1})}\\=&(1+o(1))H(\vec{s}_1,\vec{s}_2)\sum_{q>w}\left(\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iu_m}{\log R}}}-\frac{2\log q}{q^{1+\frac{1+iv_m}{\log R}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{q>w}\frac{\log q}{q^{1+\delta}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1+\delta}}+O((\log w)^2)=-\frac{\zeta'(1+\delta)}{\zeta(1+\delta)}+O((\log w)^2).$$

So

$$M_2=\frac{(2+o(1))W^{k_0+1}}{\Phi(W)^{k_0+1}(\log R)^{k_0}}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}(L_2(\vec{u},\vec{v})-L_3(\vec{u},\vec{v})-L_3(\vec{v},\vec{u}))d\vec{u}d\vec{v},$$

where

$$L_2(\vec{u},\vec{v})=\frac{\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})(1+iu_m)^2(1+iv_m)^2}{(1+iu_m+1+iv_m)^3}\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{(1+iu_j)(1+iv_j)}{1+iu_j+1+iv_j}$$

and

$$L_3(\vec{u},\vec{v})=\frac{\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})(1+iu_m)(1+iv_m)^2}{(1+iu_m+1+iv_m)^2}\cdot\prod_{j\neq m}\frac{(1+iu_j)(1+iv_j)}{1+iu_j+1+iv_j}.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}}t_m''^2G_m(t_1,\dots,t_m'',\dots,t_{k_0})^2dt_1\cdots dt_m''\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\left(\int_{t_m}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{t_m'}^{+\infty}G_m(t_1,\dots,t_m'',\dots,t_{k_0})^2dt_m''\right)dt_m'\right)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\frac{K_1(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}}{(1+iu_m+1+iv_m)^2}\right)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}L_2(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}.\end{aligned}$$

And let

$$K_2(\vec{u},\vec{v})=\eta(\vec{u})\eta(\vec{v})\exp\bigg(-\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}(1+iu_j+1+iv_j)t_j\bigg)(1+iu_m)(1+iv_m)^2\prod_{j\neq m}(1+iu_j)(1+iv_j).$$

Then

$$G_m(t_1,\ldots,t_{k_0})F(t_1,\ldots,t_{k_0})=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}K(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,1}}\bigg(\int_{t_m}^{\infty}G_m(t_1,\ldots,t_m',\ldots,t_{k_0})F(t_1,\ldots,t_m',\ldots,t_{k_0})dt_m'\bigg)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}\\=&\int_{\Delta_{k_0,\infty}}\bigg(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}\frac{K_2(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}}{1+iu_m+1+iv_m}\bigg)dt_1\cdots dt_{k_0}=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{k_0}\times\mathbb{R}^{k_0}}L_3(\vec{u},\vec{v})d\vec{u}d\vec{v}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is not difficult to see that

$$M_3=o(M_2).$$

All are done. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that k_0 is sufficiently large. There exist $h_1<h_2<\cdots<h_{2k_0}$ such that $h_{2j}=h_{2j-1}+2$ for $1\leq j\leq k_0$,*

$$\{h_1,h_2,\ldots,h_{2k_0-1},h_{2k_0}\}$$

is admissible and

$$h_{2k_0}-h_1=O(k_0(\log k_0)^2).$$

Proof. Let $z=k_0^9$. Let

$$S=\{p\in[z,2z]:p\text{ is prime and all prime divisors of }p+2\text{ are greater than }2k_0\}.$$

Using the sieve method (cf. [3, Theorem 9.2 and its remark]), we know that

$$|S|\gg\frac{z}{(\log z)^2}$$

provided k_0 is sufficiently. Thus there exists $n\in[z,2z]$ such that

$$|[n,n+L]\cap S|\geq k_0,$$

where $L=zk_0/|S|$. Choose

$$h_1,h_3,\ldots,h_{2k_0-1}\in[n,n+L]\cap S$$

and let $h_{2j}=h_{2j-1}+2$. We are done. □

Suppose that x is sufficiently large and let $R = x^{1/4-1/(100m)}$. Define

$$\theta(n) = \begin{cases} \log n, & \text{if } n \text{ is prime,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$k_0 = C_1 m^2 e^{8m}, \tag{3.1}$$

where C_1 is a sufficiently large absolute constant. Suppose that $\{h_1, \dots, h_{2k_0}\}$ is an admissible set described in Lemma and $f(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0})$ is a smooth function supported on $\Delta_{2k_0,1}$. We need to show that the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{n\sim x \\ n\equiv b \pmod W \\ \mu(n+h_{2j})\neq 0,\, 1\le j\le k}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \theta(n+h_{2j-1}) \Big(1 - \frac{\tau(n+h_{2j})}{C_2}\Big) - m\log(3x)\Big) \Big(\sum_{d_j \mid n+h_j} \lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}} \Big)^2 \tag{3.2}$$

is positive, where

$$\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}} = f\Big(\frac{\log d_1}{\log R}, \dots, \frac{\log d_{2k_0}}{\log R}\Big) \prod_{j=1}^{2k_0} \mu(d_j)$$

and C_2 is a constant depending on k_0 to be chosen later. Then there exist distinct $1 \le j_1, \dots, j_{m+1} \le k$ such that

$$\theta(n+h_{2j_i-1})\Big(1 - \frac{\tau(n+h_{2j_i})}{C_2}\Big) > 0,$$

i.e., $n + h_{2j_i-1}$ is prime and $\tau(n+h_{2j_i}) < C_2$. Since $\mu(n+h_{2j}) \neq 0$, we get that

$$\Omega(n+h_{2j_i}) = \Omega(n+h_{2j_i-1}+2) \le \frac{\log C_2}{\log 2}.$$

According to [9, Proposition 5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{k_0} \sum_{\substack{n\sim x \\ n\equiv b \pmod W}} \theta(n+h_{2j-1}) \Big(\sum_{d_j \mid n+h_j} f\Big(\frac{\log d_1}{\log R}, \dots, \frac{\log d_{2k_0}}{\log R}\Big) \prod_{j=1}^{2k_0} \mu(d_j)\Big)^2 \\ =&\frac{(k_0+o(1))x}{(\log R)^{2k_0-1}} \cdot \frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}} \int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}} \Big(\int_0^1 F(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) dt_{2k_0} \Big)^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_{2k_0-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\log(3x)\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\pmod W}}\bigg(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}f\bigg(\frac{\log d_1}{\log R},\dots,\frac{\log d_{2k_0}}{\log R}\bigg)\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0}\mu(d_j)\bigg)^2\\ =&\frac{(1+o(1))x\log x}{(\log R)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}}F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0},\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

where

$$F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})=\frac{\partial^{2k_0}f(t_1,\dots,t_{k_0})}{\partial t_1\cdots\partial t_{2k_0}}.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\pmod W\\ \mu(n+h_{2j'})=0\text{ for some }j'}}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})\bigg(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}}\bigg)^2\\ \le&\sum_{p>w}\sum_{1\le j,j'\le k_0}\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\pmod W\\ n+h_{2j'}\equiv 0\pmod{p^2}}}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})\bigg(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}})\bigg)^2\\ \le&\sum_{p>w}\frac{(k_0^2+o(1))x}{(\log R)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{p^{2k_0-2}W^{2k_0-1}}{(p-1)^{2k_0}\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\bigg(\int_0^1F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})dt_{2k_0}\bigg)^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since w tends to infinity as $x\to\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\pmod W\\ \mu(n+h_{2j})\neq 0,\,1\le j\le k}}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})\bigg(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}}\bigg)^2\\ =&(1+o(1))\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\pmod W}}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})\bigg(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}}\bigg)^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

Let

$$\delta_0=\frac{1}{k_0\log k_0}.$$

Let $h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})$ be a smooth function with $|h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})|\le 1$ such that

$$h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } (t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\in\Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0},\\ 0, & \text{if } (t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\notin\Delta_{2k_0,1}.\end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we may assume that

$$\bigg|\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t_j}(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\bigg|\le\frac{1}{\delta_0}+1$$

for each $(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) \in \Delta_{2k_0,1} \setminus \Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0}$ and $1 \le j \le k$.

Let

$$A = \log(2k_0) - 2\log\log(2k_0)$$

and

$$T = \frac{e^A - 1}{A}.$$

Let $h_2(t)$ be a smooth function with $|h_2(t)| \le 1$ such that

$$h_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \delta_1 \le t \le T - \delta_0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t > T \text{ or } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_1 > 0$ is a constant to be chosen soon. Further, assume that

$$|h_2'(t)| \le \frac{1}{\delta_0} + 1$$

for $t \in [T - \delta_0, T]$ and

$$|h_2'(t)| \le \frac{1}{\delta_1} + 1$$

for $t \in [0, \delta_1]$

Let

$$F(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) = h_1(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) \prod_{j=1}^{2k_0} \frac{h_2(2k_0 t_j)}{1 + 2k_0 A t_j}.$$

and let

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{A}\left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + AT}\right).$$

Maynard [6] proved that

$$I_{2k_0} = \int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}} F^\circ(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0})^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_{2k_0} \le \frac{\gamma^{2k_0}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0}} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2k_0} =& \int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}} \left(\int_0^\infty F^\circ(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) dt_{2k_0} \right)^2 dt_1 \cdots dt_{2k_0-1} \\ \ge& \frac{\log(2k_0) - 2\log\log(2k_0) - 2}{2k_0} \cdot \frac{\gamma^{2k_0}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$F^\circ(t_1, \dots, t_{2k_0}) = \prod_{j=1}^{2k_0} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{[0,T]}(2k_0 t_j)}{1 + 2k_0 A t_j}.$$

Define

$$h_2^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \le t \le T - \delta_0, \\ h_2(t), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$F^*(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0}) = h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0}\frac{h_2^*(2k_0t_j)}{1+2k_0At_j}.$$

Clearly $F^*(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0}) = F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})$ unless $0\le t_j\le\delta_1$ for some j. Now we may choose $\delta_1>0$ sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\bigg(\int_0^\infty F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t_{2k_0})dt_{2k_0}\bigg)^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\ \ge&(1-\delta_0)\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\bigg(\int_0^\infty F^*(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t_{2k_0})dt_{2k_0}\bigg)^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Consider

$$\Delta_{2k_0,r,s} = \{(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0},t'_{2k_0}):\ t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0},t'_{2k_0}\in[0,s],\ t_1+\cdots+t_{2k_0},t_1+\cdots+t'_{2k_0}\le r\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(\Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0,(T-\delta_0)/(2k_0)})}{\mathrm{vol}(\Delta_{2k_0,1,T/(2k_0)})}\ge(1-\delta_0)^{2k_0+1}(1-\delta_0T^{-1})^{2k_0+1}\ge(1-\delta_0)^{4k_0+2},$$

where $\mathrm{vol}(\Delta)$ denotes the volume of Δ. Note that $F^*(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\ge F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})$ and $F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})$ is decreasing in those t_j. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\bigg(\int_0^\infty F^*(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t_{2k_0})dt_{2k_0}\bigg)^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\ \ge&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0,(T-\delta_0)/(2k_0)}}F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t_{2k_0})F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t'_{2k_0})dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}\\ \ge&(1-\delta_0)^{4k_0+2}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1,T/(2k_0)}}F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t_{2k_0})F^\circ(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t'_{2k_0})dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}\\ =&(1-\delta_0)^{4k_0+2}J_{2k_0}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}&G_{2k_0}(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0}) = \frac{\partial F(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})}{\partial t_{2k_0}}\\ =&\frac{\partial h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})}{\partial t_m}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0}\frac{h_2(2k_0t_j)}{1+2k_0At_j}+h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\cdot\frac{2k_0h_2'(2k_0t_m)}{1+2k_0At_m}\prod_{j\ne m}\frac{h_2(2k_0t_j)}{1+2k_0At_j}\\ &-h_1(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0})\cdot\frac{2k_0Ah_2(2k_0t_m)}{(1+2k_0At_m)^2}\prod_{j\ne m}\frac{h_2(2k_0t_j)}{1+2k_0At_j}.\end{aligned}$$

Below we only consider the case $m = 2k_0$. In view of Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha =& \int_{\Omega_1} G_{2k_0}(t_1,\dots,t_{2k_0-1},t'_{2k_0})^2 dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}\\
\le& \frac{1+3\delta_0}{\delta_0^2}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}\setminus\Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0}} \frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t'_{2k_0})t'_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At'_{2k_0})^2}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt'_{2k_0}\\
&+\frac{1+3\delta_0}{\delta_0^2}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}\Big(\int_{(T-\delta_0)/(2k_0)}^{T/(2k_0)}\frac{4k^2t'_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At'_{2k_0})^2}\Big)dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\
&+\frac{1+3\delta_1}{\delta_1^2}\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}\Big(\int_{0}^{\delta_1/(2k_0)}\frac{4k^2t'_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At'_{2k_0})^2}\Big)dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\
&+\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}}\frac{4k_0^2A^21_{[1,T]}(2k_0t'_{2k_0})t'_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At'_{2k_0})^4}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt_{2k_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

First, clearly

$$\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}}\frac{4k^2A^21_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_{2k_0})t_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At_{2k_0})^4}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0}\le\frac{4k_0^2A^2}{6\cdot(2k_0A)^2}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}},\tag{3.10}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}\Big(\int_{(T-\delta_0)/(2k_0)}^{T/(2k_0)}\frac{4k_0^2t_{2k_0}dt_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At_{2k_0})^2}\Big)dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\
\le&\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{\delta_0}{2k_0}\cdot\frac{2k_0T}{(1+(1-\delta_0)AT)^2}\le\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{\delta_0}{2k_0}\cdot 2\log k_0,
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

by recalling that $A=\log(2k_0)-2\log\log(2k_0)$ and

$$1+AT=e^A=2k_0\cdot(\log(2k_0))^{-2}.$$

Third,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}\Big(\int_{0}^{\delta_1/(2k_0)}\frac{4k_0^2t_{2k_0}dt_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At_{2k_0})^2}\Big)dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\
\le&\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\int_0^{\delta_1/(2k_0)}4k_0^2t_{2k_0}dt_{2k_0}=\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{\delta_1^2}{2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Finally, noting that

$$\frac{t}{(1+2k_0At)^2}\le\frac{1}{8k_0A}$$

for $t \geq 0$ and letting $r = t_1 + \cdot + t_{2k_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0,1}\setminus\Delta_{2k_0,1-\delta_0}} \frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_{2k_0})t_{2k_0}}{(1+2k_0At_{2k_0})^2}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt_{2k_0}\\
\leq&\int_{\Delta_{2k_0-1,1}}\prod_{j=1}^{2k_0-1}\frac{1_{[1,T]}(2k_0t_j)}{(1+2k_0At_j)^2}\bigg(\int_{1-\delta_0}^1\frac{|r-t_1-\cdots-t_{2k_0-1}|dr}{(1+2k_0A|r-t_1-\cdots-t_{2k_0-1}|)^2}\bigg)dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}\\
\leq&\frac{\delta_0}{8k_0A}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\leq\frac{\delta_0}{4k_0\log k_0}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\alpha\leq\frac{4\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}$$

provided k is sufficiently large.

Similarly, we have

$$\beta_1=\int_{\Omega_2}G_{2k_0}(t_1,\ldots,t_{2k_0-1},t''_{2k_0})^2dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}dt''_{2k_0}\leq\frac{4}{k_0A}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}$$

and

$$\beta_2=\int_{\Omega_1}G_{2k_0}(t_1,\ldots,t'_{2k_0})F(t_1,\ldots,t'_{2k_0})dt_1\cdots dt_{2k_0-1}dt_{2k_0}dt'_{2k_0}\leq\frac{4}{k_0A}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1, for any $1\leq j\leq k_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\ (\mathrm{mod}\ W)\\ \mu(n+h_{2j})\neq0}}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})\tau(n+h_{2j})\bigg(\sum_{d_j|n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\ldots,d_{2k_0}}\bigg)^2\\
\leq&\log(2x)\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b\ (\mathrm{mod}\ W)}}\tau(n+h_{2j})\bigg(\sum_{d_j|n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\ldots,d_{2k_0}}\bigg)^2\\
\leq&\frac{5\gamma^{2k_0-1}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{x\log x}{(\log R)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

provided that k_0 is sufficiently large. Now choose a sufficiently constant C_1 in (3.1) such that

$$\log(2k_0)-2\log\log(2k_0)-8m\geq10,$$

i.e., in view of (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b \pmod W\\ \mu(n+h_{2j})\neq 0,\,1\le j\le k}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}\theta(n+h_{2j-1})-m\log(3x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{2k_0}}\Big)^2\\
=&\frac{(k_0+o(1))x}{(\log R)^{2k_0-1}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\cdot(1-\delta_0)^{4k_0+3}J_{2k_0}-\frac{(m+o(1))x\log x}{(\log R)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\cdot I_{2k_0}\\
\ge&\Big(\frac{\log(2k_0)-2\log\log(2k_0)-7}{2}-m\cdot\frac{\log x}{\log R}\Big)\cdot\frac{\gamma^{2k_0}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{(1+o(1))x}{(\log R)^{2k_0-1}}\\
\ge&\frac{\gamma^{2k_0}}{(2k_0)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{W^{2k_0-1}}{\phi(W)^{2k_0}}\cdot\frac{x}{(\log R)^{2k_0-1}}. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus letting $C_2=50k_0^2A$ in (3.2), we get the desired result. □

Remark. Suppose that $k_0=C_1m^2e^{4m}$ and $\{h_1,\dots,h_{k_0}\}$ is admissible. Then we also have

$$\sum_{\substack{n\sim x\\ n\equiv b \pmod W\\ \mu(n+h_j)\neq 0,\,1\le j\le k}} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{k_0}\theta(n+h_j)\Big(1-\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{\tau(n+h_i)}{C_3}\Big)-m\log(3x)\Big)\Big(\sum_{d_j\mid n+h_j}\lambda_{d_1,\dots,d_{k_0}}\Big)^2$$

is positive for some constant $C_3=O(k_0^3A)$. That is, there exist infinitely many n such that

$$\{n+h_1,n+h_2,\cdots,n+h_{k_0}\}$$

contains at least $m+1$ primes and

$$\Omega(n+h_j)\le\frac{12m}{\log 2}+\frac{5\log m}{\log 2}+O(1)$$

for each j.

References

[1] D. A. Goldston, J. Pintz, and C. Y. Yldrm, *Primes in tuples. I*, Ann. of Math., **170**(2009), 819862.

[2] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Almost-prime k-tuples*, Mathematika, **44**(1997), 245266.

[3] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert, *Sieve methods*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 4, Academic Press, London-New York, 1974.

[4] K.-H. Ho and K.-M. Tsang, *On almost prime k-tuples*, J. Number Theory, **120**(2006), 3346.

[5] J. Maynard, *Bounded length intervals containing two primes and an almost-prime II* , preprint, arXiv:1306.0948.

[6] J. Maynard, *Small gaps between primes*, preprint, arXiv:1311.4600.

[7] D. H. J. Polymath, *New equidistribution estimates of Zhang type, and bounded gaps between primes*, preprint, arXiv:1402.0811.

[8] Robert A. Smith, *The Generalized Divisor Problem Over Arithmetic Progressions*, Math. Ann., **260**(1982), 255-268.

[9] T. Tao, *Polymath8b: Bounded intervals with many primes, after Maynard*, http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2013/11/19/polymath8b-bounded-intervals-with-many-primes-after-maynard/.
[10] Y. Zhang, *Bounded gaps between primes*, Ann. of Math., to appear.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Public of China
E-mail address: lihz@sjtu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: haopan1979@gmail.com